# Background

Council acknowledges that coastal management challenges, such as erosion and inundation, will only increase into the future and require a well-informed, planned approach for effective and responsible coastal management for future generations.

As a result, Council has developed a Coastal Adaptation Strategy (CAS) to assist in its future pathways and priority actions. This CAS has been summarised in a series of fact sheets on Council's website, with the full CAS also available online at:

https://www.kingstondc.sa.gov.au/ourservices/major-projects/coastal-adaptation-strategy

A key recommendation of the CAS was to undertake a detailed study of the long term, feasible adaptation options for Wyomi Beach.

This fact sheet has been developed as an overview to the detailed Wyomi Beach adaptation pathways study.

#### Wyomi Beach Erosion Risk

Over the last few decades, Wyomi Beach has experienced on-going erosion in the order of 1 m/yr. In 2016, a series of storm events also caused around 15m of beach erosion (see photograph below), eroding the dune and damaging the footpath in the area.



Copyright ABC News

# **Existing Management**

In recent years, Council have implemented a range of measures to manage coastal erosion at Wyomi Beach, including:

 Rock Seawall – the 400m rock seawall in the center of Wyomi Beach installed in 2018 is in a good condition and is likely to provide long term protection, with on-going maintenance and upgrades for sea level rise.

Since its construction, erosion, has occurred at both ends of the rock seawall, particularly on the

northern side. This is common for seawalls and often referred to as terminal scour.

KINGSTON DISTRICT COUNCIL

- Geotextile Sand Container (GSC) Seawalls –From 2018 to 2019, Council constructed a series of GSC seawalls to manage the terminal scour. Whilst in reasonable condition, these seawalls are not a suitable long-term option, as they are likely to be eroded and damaged in a significant storm event, such as a 100 year event.
- Sand Nourishment Over the last two winters, sand has been placed to the north and south of the rock seawall. Providing protection in the critical terminal scour locations, whilst longer term adaptation options were being developed in this study.

Nourishment placed in the south of Wyomi has helped to maintain a beach in front the seawall through 2020. However, the winter of 2021 was particularly severe and much of the sand has been lost. Whilst effective at providing erosion protection, nourishment is required each year, which can be costly.



Why is further adaptation required?

Even with the existing seawalls in place, the CAS showed the following assets are currently at risk of erosion (under a Do-Nothing approach):

- Beach
- GSC Seawalls
- Footpath
- Telstra Cable (underneath footpath)
- Marine Parade

By 2050, multiple properties on Marine Parade could also be at risk of erosion. Details of the erosion risk are presented in the CAS. Longer term adaptation pathways are required to manage the erosion risk.

FACT SHEET | WYOMI ADAPTATION PATHWAYS | SEPTEMBER 2021 | PAGE 1 OF 6



# What are the adaptation options?

A number of adaptation options have been investigated in the study, with staging plans presented at the end.



### Seawall (Defend) pathway

Use seawalls to protect land from the sea. At Wyomi, the future Defend pathway would involve:

- On-going extensions and maintenance of seawalls to protect assets.
- Over time the seawall rocks and crest level would also be upgraded to accommodate higher water levels and larger wave heights due to sea level rise.



#### Seawall and Nourishment (Defend) pathway

The study also investigated the potential volumes and cost of maintaining a beach in front of the seawalls with beach nourishment. This would require:

- Placement of approximately 20,000 m<sup>3</sup> of sand each year to the ends and in front of the seawall.
- Nourishment volumes would increase to ~100,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr to counter sea level rise by 2050.



#### Managed retreat pathway

Planned relocation of assets and properties away from the coastline, providing a suitable buffer for erosion. At Wyomi, managed retreat would involve:

- The existing seawalls are removed.
- Council and state assets are removed and relocated.
- Affected roads are removed, while maintaining continued access to properties where possible.
- Affected private properties are acquired/ purchased and removed, with the land remediated to allow natural erosive processes to continue.



#### Hybrid pathway

A combination of the above approaches was also investigated. At Wyomi, this would consist of:

- A seawall protecting the most at risk areas in the centre of Wyomi Beach.
- Managed retreat on the north and south of the seawall.

# **Key factors**

The following factors should be considered when selecting adaptation options:

|                          | Reach Impact                                                | Environment                                                                     | Planning &<br>Implementation                |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Seawall                  | Beach lost in front of<br>seawall (without<br>nourishment)  | Dune and beach<br>ecosystems lost                                               | Straightforward                             |
| Seawall &<br>Nourishment | Beach maintained by nourishment                             | Dune and beach<br>ecosystems remain                                             | Annual planning and implementation required |
| Retreat                  | Beach maintained                                            | Dune and beach<br>ecosystems remain                                             | Difficult                                   |
| Hybrid                   | Beach lost in front of seawall and remains in retreat areas | Dune and beach systems lost<br>in front of seawall and remain<br>in other areas | Difficult                                   |

FACT SHEET | WYOMI ADAPTATION PATHWAYS | SEPTEMBER 2021 | PAGE 2 OF 6

# What are the costs and who pays?

The Net Present Value (NPV) costs of the options to 2050 are summarised in the table below. NPV analysis provides an indication of the relative costs of the pathways over time, considering capital and on-going costs. A smaller number indicates a less expensive pathway overall.

| Option                                                      | NPV to 2050 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Seawall                                                     | -\$3.9M     |
| Full Retreat                                                | -\$13.2M    |
| Hybrid<br>Seawall in centre with retreat<br>north and south | -\$5.9M     |
| Seawall with Nourishment                                    | -\$17.7M    |

The question of who pays is more difficult to answer and requires careful consideration. A common hurdle to implementing adaptation options is the lack of clarity around future funding of adaptation options.

Some examples of approaches used elsewhere:

- Collaroy-Narabeen (NSW), a benefits distribution analysis was used to assess who benefits from seawall construction and nourishment, which showed residents had 95% of benefit and should pay.
- Bundaberg Council (QLD), a Steering Committee was established to assist with sourcing internal and external funding opportunities.
- Albany (WA), where the proposed management options have the potential to protect private business or private leasehold interests, Council intend to establish a Specified Area Rate to support the ongoing maintenance and future replacement of protection structures. This rate

would apply to those properties who will directly benefit from management options.

# Where to Next?

Each adaptation pathway has its advantages and disadvantages, which should be considered by Council and the community.

## **Community Engagement and Option Selection**

Council has developed a Community Engagement Strategy to facilitate discussion of these options with community members. These discussions will help Council choose a preferred option for implementation.

#### Planning and Implementation

Once a preferred option has been selected by Council, with input from the community, grant options, including funding opportunities will be sought and detailed planning for the preferred option will begin.

## Want further information?

If you are interested in this or you require further information on the project, please refer to the Council website 'Coastal Adaptation Strategy' project page or contact us at:

Kingston District Council

- P | 08 8767 2033
- E | info@kingstondc.sa.gov.au
- A | 29 Holland Street, PO Box 321, Kingston SE SA 527





Fact Sheet | WYOMI SEAWALL VERSUS RETREAT ADAPTATION | SEPTEMBER 2021 | PAGE 4 OF 6









Fact Sheet | WYOMI SEAWALL VERSUS RETREAT ADAPTATION | SEPTEMBER 2021 | PAGE 6 OF 6